



## Study of Intrinsic-kt in the Parton Branching Method

Nataša Raičević on behalf of the CASCADE group

University of Montenegro, Faculty of Science and Mathematics





This talk is mainly focused on the recently obtained results shown in:

arXiv:2404.04088

<u>arxiv:2312.08655</u> <u>Eur.Phys.J.C 84 (2024) 2, 154</u>

# Intrinsic- $k_{T}$



The largest part of the initial partons momenta inside a hadron is the longitudinal momentum, but beside it, they have also transverse momentum due to their internal (Fermi) motion intrinsic k<sub>T</sub>

The production of Drell-Yan (DY) lepton pairs in hadron collisions - excellent process to study various QCD effects



- I Non-perturbative region
  - intrinsic motion of partons
  - resummation of multiple soft gluon emissions
- II Transition region
- III Perturbative higher-order contributions dominating<sub>3</sub>

Why Parton Branching (PB) method ?

- The main goal of all theoretical predictions in HEP nowdays is to improve unceratinties at all levels
  - → Test consistency of Standard Model and point to the deviations which could indicate a new physics
- BUT, the problem of soft gluon emissions treatment and their resummation in collinear generators persists
  - → Development of the PB Method which follows a different approach by introducing the transverse degree of freedom ( $k_T$  parton transverse momentum) from the beginning instead of treating it as a higher order corrections
- □ The PB Method describes partons from colliding hadron via Transverse Momentum Dependent (TMD) parton distribution functions (PDF) → TMDs

 $A_a(x, k_T, \mu^2)$  – giving probability of finding parton **a** with a hadron momentum fraction x and transverse momentum  $k_T$  and at evolution scale  $\mu$ 

→ TMDs for all flavors in a wide kinematic range obtained from the TMD evolution equation





One branching

More branchings

 $\Box$   $z_{M}$  - soft gluon resolution parameter defining resolvable (z <  $z_{M}$ ) and non-resolvable  $(z > z_M)$  parton branchings

> PB method takes into account angular ordering based on colour coherence in QCD according to which the angles of partons with respect to an initial hadron increase in the subsequent branching

 $\mu' = |\mu'| = q_{\perp}/(1-z)$  - angular ordering is independent of the choice of the soft-gluon resolution scale when  $z_{M} \rightarrow 1$ 



- □ Parton evolution is expressed in terms of resolvable, real emission DGLAP splitting functions,  $P_{ab}$  for parton splitting b → a, and Sudakov form factors ( $\Delta_a$ ) which give the probability to evolve from one scale to another scale without resolvable branching
- □ The TMD for a parton a, with the longitudinal momentum fraction x of the proton and the transverse momentum  $\mathbf{k}$ , evaluated at a scale  $\mu$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{a}(x,\mathbf{k},\mu^{2}) &= \Delta_{a}(\mu^{2}) \ \mathcal{A}_{a}(x,\mathbf{k},\mu_{0}^{2}) + \sum_{b} \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} \frac{d^{2}\mu'}{\pi\mu'^{2}} \ \frac{\Delta_{a}(\mu^{2})}{\Delta_{a}(\mu'^{2})} \ \Theta(\mu^{2}-\mu'^{2}) \ \Theta(\mu'^{2}-\mu_{0}^{2}) \\ &\times \int_{x}^{z_{M}} \frac{dz}{z} \ P_{ab}^{(R)}(\alpha_{s},z) \ \mathcal{A}_{b}\left(\frac{x}{z},\mathbf{k}+(1-z) \ \boldsymbol{\mu}',\mu'^{2}\right) \\ \Delta_{a}(z_{M},\mu^{2},\mu_{0}^{2}) &= \exp\left(-\sum_{b} \int_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{\mu^{2}} \frac{d\mu'^{2}}{\mu'^{2}} \int_{0}^{z_{M}} dz \ z \ P_{ba}^{(R)}(\alpha_{s},z)\right) \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathcal{A}_a(x, \mathbf{k}, \mu_0^2)$ - the TMD at the starting scale  $\mu_0$  is a nonperturbative boundary condition to the evolution equation and is determined from experimental data

- $\succ~z_{M} \rightarrow 1$  gives the exact solution of the DGLAP evolution
- > Integration of  $\mathcal{A}_a(x, \mathbf{k}, \mu^2)$  over all **k** gives collinear PDFs  $f_a(x, \mu^2)$



- □ The scale at which  $\alpha_s$  should be evaluated in the PB evolution equations is a function of the branching variable  $\mu'$
- $\succ$  α<sub>s</sub> = α<sub>s</sub>( $\mu$ '<sup>2</sup>) → PB-NLO-2018 set 1
- >  $\alpha_s = \alpha_s(\mu'^2(1-z)^2) = \alpha_s(q_T^2) \rightarrow PB-NLO-2018 \text{ set } 2$



> Significant difference at low transverasal momenta of partons

For heavy flavors the difference much smaller since they are only generated 7 dynamically Intrinsic  $k_{T}$  in TMDs



> In the evolution, it is introduced as a nonperturbative parameter and is generated from a Gaussian distribution of the width  $\sigma$  which is expressed via parameter  $q_s$  in the PB model:  $\sigma^2 = q_s^2/2$ 

#### $A_{a}(x, \mathbf{k}_{0}, \mu_{0}^{2}) = f_{a}(x, \mu_{0}^{2}) \cdot \exp(-|\mathbf{k}_{0}^{2}|/q_{s}^{2})/(\pi q_{s}^{2})^{1/2}$



Significant effect of the intrinsic- $k_T$  at low scales

DY invariant mass distribution

- □ For theoretical prediction PB TMD Monte Carlo event generator CASCADE3 based on PB-NLO-2018 Set1 or PB-NLO-2018 Set2 is used (default - q<sub>s</sub> = 0.5 GeV, q<sub>T</sub> > q<sub>0</sub> = 0.01 GeV)
- Matrix elements are obtained from the MADGRAPH5\_AMC@NLO event generator at next-to-leading (NLO) and are matched with TMD parton distributions and showers obtained from PB evolution
- The final state parton shower in CASCADE3 is generated from PYTHIA since here are no PB-fragmentation functions available yet



Eur.Phys.J.C 84 (2024) 2,154

- CMS measurement [1]
- Rivet package used for calculation of the final distributions
- The inclusion of QED radiation (photon radiation in final state) is essential in the region bellow Z peak

CMS measurement [2]

#### Eur.Phys.J.C 84 (2024) 2,154



#### <u>q\_s = 0.5 GeV</u>

- Too high contribution at small DY transverse momenta using the PB-NLO-2018 Set1 while PB-NLO-2018 Set2 describes the measurements rather well
- QED changes not only the total cross section but rather strongly modifying the shape of the transverse momentum distribution especially at low DY masses
- Too low cross section predicted with the calculations at large transverse momentum due to missing higher-order contributions in the matrix element

Determination of the Gaussian width  $q_s$ 

The recent publication from CMS on transverse momentum distribution in a wide DY invariant mass [2] provides a detailed uncertainty breakdown



→ the basic data for the determination of the intrinsic-k<sub>T</sub> parameter q<sub>s</sub>

 $\Box$  q<sub>s</sub> parameter in PB-NLO-2018 Set 2 is varied and compared to the measurement

 $\succ \chi^2$  is calculated to quantify the model agreement to the measurement

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i,k} (m_{i} - \mu_{i}) C_{ik}^{-1} (m_{k} - \mu_{k})$$

The covariance matrix C<sub>ik</sub> consists of a component describing the uncertainty in the measurement, C<sub>ik</sub><sup>measurement</sup>, and the statistical (bin by bin stat. unc) and scale uncertainties in the prediction

$$C_{ik} = C_{ik}^{measurement} + C_{ik}^{model-stat.} + C_{ik}^{scale}$$

□ For each invariant mass region obtained in the measurement, only the region<sub>1</sub> most sensitive to  $q_s$  considered ,  $p_T(II) < 8 \text{ GeV}$ 

## Determination of the Gaussian width $q_s$

- □ The  $q_s$  determined for each mass bins while taking care to obtain a value of  $\chi^2 \approx$  ndf for each mass bin (by adjusting the number of pair pt bins considered)
- $\Box$  One-sigma confidence obtained as the region of  $q_s$  values for which  $\chi^2 < \chi^2_{min} + 1$  with



- > 0.05 GeV which accounts for the scan resolution (one half of scan-step up and down)
- > A variable amount to account for changes when considered bins are added or refnoved

## The Gaussian width $q_s$ from the combined CMS data

- $\Box$  The optimal q<sub>s</sub> obtained considering bins in all mass ranges Eur.Phys.J.C 84 (2024) 2,154
- > A new covariance matrix  $C_{ik}^{comb.}$  constructed as a sum over the 650 uncertainty sources included in the detailed breakdown



 $q_s$ =1.04 ±0.03 (data) ± 0.05 (scan) ± 0.05 (binning) GeV = 1.04 ± 0.08 GeV <sup>13</sup>

## Intrinsic $k_{T}$ -width depending on DY mass at $\int s = 13$ TeV

$m_{\rm DY}$ region	Best $\chi^2$	n.d.f.	Best fit $q_s$ [GeV]
50–76 GeV	2.45	3	$1.00 \pm 0.08(\text{data}) \pm 0.05(\text{scan}) \pm 0.1(\text{bins})$
76–106 GeV	11.4	7	$1.03 \pm 0.03$ (data) $\pm 0.05$ (scan) $\pm 0.05$ (bins)
106–170 GeV	6.46	4	$1.11 \pm 0.13$ (data) $\pm 0.05$ (scan) $\pm 0.2$ (bins)
170–350 GeV	4.62	4	$1.1^{+0.24}_{-0.18}$ (data)
350–1000 GeV	1.04	4	< 1.9





- $\succ$  The q<sub>s</sub> values obtained from each mass bin are consistent
- The sensitivity at high mass affected mainly from larger statistical uncertainties in the measurement
- $\rightarrow$  No mass dependence of the q<sub>s</sub> at Js = 13 TeV

## DY production at lower energies

- $\hfill$  No full error breakdown is available for the other measurements
- All uncertainties treated as being uncorrelated and do not include any systematic uncertainty coming from the scale variation in the theoretical calculation

Analysis	√s	Collision type
CMS (2022) [ <mark>2</mark> ]	13 TeV	рр
LHCb (2022) [ <u>3</u> ]	13 TeV	рр
CMS (2021) [ <u>4</u> ]	8.1 TeV	pPb
ATLAS (2015) [ <u>5</u> ]	8 TeV	рр
CDF (2012) [6]	1.96 TeV	pp
CDF (2000) [ <u>7</u> ]	1.8 TeV	pp
D0 (2000) [ <u>8</u> ]	1.8 TeV	pp
PHENIX (2019) [9]	200 GeV	pp
E605 (1991) [ <u>10</u> ]	38.8 GeV	рр

# Intrinsic $k_T$ -width depending on $\sqrt{s}$ and DY mass

Eur.Phys.J.C 84 (2024) 2, 154

 $q_0 = 0.01 \text{ GeV}$  - minimal parton transverse momentum emitted at a branching

 $z_{M} = 1 - \varepsilon (\varepsilon = 10^{-3}) \rightarrow \text{soft gluon contributions included}$ 



The result of proper treatment of soft contributions:

- $\rightarrow$  Consistent values of  $q_s$  for a large range of DY pair invariant masses
- $\rightarrow$  Very mild or no centre-of-mass energy dependence of q $_{s}$

→ The result in contrast to the ones obtained from standard Monte Carlo event generators which need a strongly increasing intrinsic-K<sub>T</sub> width with √s 16 T. Sjostrand, Peter Z. Skands, JHEP 03 (2004) 053; Stefan Gieseke, Michael H. Seymour, Andrzej Siodmok, JHEP 06 (2008) 001; CMS, GEN-22-001, 2024

#### Try to introduce energy dependence of the intrinsic- $k_{T}$ in PB

- □ Try to mimic parton-shower event generators by demanding a minimal parton transverse momentum ( $q_0 = 1$  and 2 GeV) emitted at a branching
- □ The angular ordering leads to two different regions: a perturbative region, with  $q_T > q_0$ , and a non-perturbative region of  $q_T < q_0$ , where  $\alpha_s$  is frozen at  $q_0$

 $z_{dyn} = 1 - q_0/\mu'$ 

- $\rightarrow$  Two regions of z:
- a perturbative region, with  $0 < z < z_{dyn} (q_T > q_0)$
- a non-perturbative region with  $z_{dyn} < z < z_M (q_T < q_0)$
- > define a perturbative (P) and non-perturbative (NP) ( $z_{dyn} < z < z_M, z_M \rightarrow 1$ ) Sudakov form factors

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{a}(\mu^{2},\mu_{0}^{2}) &= \exp\left(-\sum_{b}\int_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{\mu^{2}}\frac{d\mathbf{q}'^{2}}{\mathbf{q}'^{2}}\int_{0}^{z_{\mathrm{dyn}}}dz \ z \ P_{ba}^{(R)}\left(\alpha_{s},z\right)\right) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\sum_{b}\int_{\mu_{0}^{2}}^{\mu^{2}}\frac{d\mathbf{q}'^{2}}{\mathbf{q}'^{2}}\int_{z_{\mathrm{dyn}}}^{z_{\mathrm{M}}} \overset{\approx}{d}z \ z \ P_{ba}^{(R)}\left(\alpha_{s},z\right)\right) \\ &= \Delta_{a}^{(\mathrm{P})}\left(\mu^{2},\mu_{0}^{2},q_{0}^{2}\right)\cdot\Delta_{a}^{(\mathrm{NP})}\left(\mu^{2},\mu_{0}^{2},q_{0}^{2}\right) \ . \end{split}$$

17

Impact of  $q_0$  cut on integrated parton density

- $\rightarrow$  z<sub>M</sub> constrained: z<sub>M</sub>= z<sub>dyn</sub> = 1 q<sub>0</sub>/ $\mu$ ' < 1
- $\rightarrow \Delta_{a}^{(NP)}$  neglected
- $\rightarrow$  Real emissions with z > 1 q\_0/ $\mu'$  neglected



Integrated parton distributions very different for the two cases

 $\rightarrow$  soft contributions important also for collinear distributions

#### Impact of intrinsic- $k_T$ on DY pair low $p_T$ distribution vs $q_0$



> Sensitivity of the DY cross section on the intrinsic- $k_T$  increases at small pair  $p_T$  and with increasing of  $q_0$  value

Determination of intrinsic- $k_T$  ( $q_s$  width) for certain  $q_0$ 

□ Measured DY cross section dependence on pair  $p_T$  compared with the prediction and  $\chi^2$  dependence on qs obtained for each  $q_0$  (1 and 2 GeV) at different collision energies

The uncertainties are treated as being uncorrelated



20

 $\rightarrow$  Intrinsic-k<sub>T</sub> width - q<sub>s</sub> for which  $\chi^2$  distribution has minimum

 $\rightarrow$  Intrinsic-k<sub>T</sub> width uncertainty - q<sub>s</sub> range for which  $\chi^2 < \chi^2_{min}$ +1



 $\Box$  The slope od the dependence increases as  $q_0$  increases

 $\hfill\square$  Larger  $q_0$  means that more soft contributions are excluded

→ Larger intrinsic- $k_T$  needed to compensate missing contribution from soft gluons 21 I Smaller uncertainty band at larger  $q_0$  due to larger sensitivity on intrinsic- $k_T$ 



- □ The intrinsic- $k_T$  parameter of  $q_s = 1.04 \pm 0.08$  GeV extracted from the latest CMS measurement on DY  $p_T$  spectra over the wide mass range which provides a detailed uncertainty breakdown
- > In contrast to other approaches, the width of the intrinsic- $k_T$  distribution is independent of the mass of the DY pair and independent of the center-of-mass energy  $\int s$
- □ The energy scaling of  $q_s$  with collision energy, Js, was introduced by requiring minimal transverse momentum of emitted parton in a branching,  $q_0$ , to mimic collinear parton shower approach
- > log (q<sub>s</sub>) increases nearly linearly with log ( $\int s$ )
- > The slope log  $(q_s)$  vs log  $(\int s)$  increases with  $q_0$
- $\rightarrow$  The intrinsic-k<sub>T</sub> increases to compensate for missing soft gluon contributions
- $\square$  The inclusion of soft gluons, in particularly the non-perturbative Sudakov, is crucial for providing Js-independent intrinsic-k\_T

# Thank you very much for your attention

#### References

- [1] https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.10529
- [2] https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.04897
- [3] <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.07458</u>
- [4] https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.13648
- [5] https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.02192
- [6] https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.7138
- [7] https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0001021
- [8] https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/9907009
- [9] https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.02448
- [10] https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.43.2815

#### Impact of the intrinsic $k_{T}$ on DY transverse momentum distributions

- □ Compare the latest CMS [2] results on mass dependent DY pt distributions with the predictions based on PB-NLO-2018 Set 2 with:
  - $q_s = 0 \text{ GeV}$
  - $q_s = 0.5 \, GeV$
  - $q_s = 1.3 \text{ GeV}$



> The differences between no intrinsic-kT distribution and the default value  $(q_s = 0.5 \text{ GeV})$  is rather small, while a significant effect is observed for large  $q_s$ 

> In the region of the largest DY invariant masses measured - the sensitivity to the intrinsic-k\_T distribution quite small  $^{25}$ 

## The Gaussian widths $q_s$ obtained using all analysed DY data

