Structure and Production of Exotic Particles in HIC

Su Houng Lee



Why it is interesting to measure Exotics in Heavy Ion Collision Will use X(3872) and Tcc ($D^0D^0\pi^+$) as examples

Acknowledgements:

Yonsei group : W. Park, A. Park, J. Hong, S. Noh, H. Yoon, D. Park, External: C. M. Ko, Sungtae Cho, Sanghoon Lim, Yongsun Kim + ExHIC collaboration



Which structure? \rightarrow short distance vs long distance interaction

I: Short distance: Perspectives from a quark model

1. Nucleon-Nucleon potential at (I=0, S=1)



2. There are attractive channels in $SU(N_F)$ when $N_F \ge 3$



Quark Model perspectives on Interaction at short distance – Kinetic term

Quark model : interaction between quarks

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(m_i + \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} \right) - \sum_{i$$

When brought together need to overcome Additional Kinetic energy



 \rightarrow To have a compact configuration, short range attraction should be larger than 100 MeV

Quark Model perspectives on Interaction at short distance – color-color interaction

Quark model

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(m_i + \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} \right) - \sum_{i$$

Color-Color interaction is not important for short range N-N interaction

Quark Model perspectives on Interaction at short distance - color-spin interaction

Quark model

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(m_i + \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} \right) - \sum_{i$$

17	Color-spin	interaction	for 2	2 body:	
----	------------	-------------	-------	---------	--

$$K = -\sum_{i < j}^{N} \left(\lambda_{i}^{c} \lambda_{j}^{c} \right) \left(\sigma_{i}^{s} \sigma_{j}^{s} \right) \longrightarrow$$

	Q-Q				$Q - \overline{Q}$			
Color	А	S	А	S	1	8	1	8
Flavor	А	А	S	S				
Spin	A(0)	S(1)	S(1)	A(0)	0	0	1	1
K	-8	-4/3	8/3	4	-16	2	16/3	-2/3

K < 0 attraction; K > 0 repulsion

$$\sim M_{\Delta} - M_{P} \approx 290 \text{ MeV} \rightarrow K \text{ factors} \quad 3 \times \left(\frac{8}{3}\right) - \left(-8\right) = 16$$

K factor of $1 \rightarrow 18 \text{ MeV}$

Quark Model perspectives on Interaction at short distance – Lattice comparison

INN force in SU(2) spin 1 vs spin 0 channel: comparison to lattice



H dibaryon channel: Flavor 1 vs Flavor 27



Full quark model calculation vs Lattice – A.Park, Lee, Inoue, Hatsuda, EPJA 56(20203,93



K factor and attraction for X(3872) and Tcc : W. Park, Lee, NPA924(2014) 161

$$X(3872): \text{ Belle 2003} \qquad I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 0^{+}(1^{++}) \qquad (c\overline{c}) \otimes (q\overline{q})$$

$$K_{X(3872)} - K_{D} - K_{D^{*}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{16}{3} \frac{1}{m_{c}^{2}} + \frac{16}{3} \frac{1}{m_{q}^{2}} + \frac{32}{3} \frac{1}{m_{c}m_{q}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{m_{c}^{2}} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{m_{q}^{2}} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{m_{c}m_{q}} \end{pmatrix} (1 \otimes 1)_{c} (V \otimes V)_{S=1} \\ (8 \otimes 8)_{c} (V \otimes V)_{S=1} \\ \text{Assuming typical hadron size } \rightarrow \qquad \sim -20 \text{ MeV} \qquad \text{Too small to be compact} \\ \hline \mathbf{T}_{cc}(3875): \text{ LHCb 2021} \\ I^{G}(J^{P}) = 0^{+}(1^{+}) \\ K_{T_{cc}(3875)} - K_{D} - K_{D^{*}} = \begin{pmatrix} -8 \frac{1}{m_{q}^{2}} + \frac{8}{3} \frac{1}{m_{c}^{2}} + \frac{32}{3} \frac{1}{m_{c}m_{q}} \\ -8\sqrt{2} \frac{1}{m_{c}m_{q}} \\ -8\sqrt{2} \frac{1}{m_{c}m_{q}} \\ -8\sqrt{2} \frac{1}{m_{c}^{2}} + 4 \frac{1}{m_{c}^{2}} + \frac{32}{3} \frac{1}{m_{c}m_{q}} \\ (6 \otimes \overline{c})_{c} (V \otimes S)_{S=1} \\ (6 \otimes \overline{c})_{c} (V \otimes S)_{S=1} \\ \text{Lowest Eigenvalues} \qquad \sim -100 \text{ MeV} \qquad \text{Note: addition Kinetic Energy -> +100 MeV} \\ \end{cases}$$

10

Many calculations on Tcc pioneered by Z. Zouzou, B. Silverstre-Brac, C. Gilgnooux, J Richard (86)



II: Long distance: Perspectives from the π -exchange π -exchange potential for Deuteron, X(38722) and Tcc: N.A. Tornqvist (94)

$$V_{\text{deuteron}}\left(r\right) = -\frac{25}{3}V_0 \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} C_{\pi}\left(r\right) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{8} \\ \sqrt{8} & -2 \end{bmatrix} T_{\pi}\left(r\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

Deuteron Binding from attractive Tensor force : $C_{\pi}\left(r\right) = \frac{e^{-m_{\pi}r}}{m_{\pi}r} \ll T_{\pi}\left(r\right)$

$$V_{D-\bar{D}^{*}}^{I=0,S=1,C=+}(r) = -3V_{0} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} C_{\pi}(r) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{2} \\ -\sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} T_{\pi}(r) \end{bmatrix}$$

Same for X(3872) and bound with $\langle r \rangle \sim 3$ to 4 fm

$$V_{D-D^{*}}^{I=0,S=1}(r) = +3V_{0} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} C_{\pi}(r) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{2} \\ -\sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} T_{\pi}(r) \end{bmatrix}$$

Not strong enough and $T_{cc}(3875)$ is not bound

But quark model \rightarrow short range attraction should be added

Attraction expected from quark Model: S.Noh, A.Park, D.Park Lee (in preparation)

T_{cc}(3875)
$$I^{G}(J^{P}) = 0^{+}(1^{+})$$

(S. No, W. Park, SHL, PRD10 (2021)114009)

$$K_{T_{cc}(3875)} - K_D - K_{D^*} \rightarrow -100 \text{ MeV}$$



Consistent to Lattice (HAL QCD): Phys. Lett. B 729 (2014) 85



 $m_{\pi} \simeq 410 \text{ MeV}$

 π -exchange potential with short range attraction: D. Park et al. (in preparation)

$$V(r)_{+:Tcc}^{-:X(3872)} = V_{Short}(r) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mp 3V_0 \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} C_{\pi}(r) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{2} \\ -\sqrt{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} T_{\pi}(r) \end{bmatrix}$$

Central Part= $V_{Short}(r) \mp 3V_0 C_{\pi}(r)$ — ; Tensor Part= $\pm T_{\pi}(r)$ —





1. Expectation for structure of X(3872) and Tcc

	quark content /meson content	V _{Short} (Lee et al.)	V _π (Tornqvist 1994)	S/D mixing	Structure
X(3872)	$(uc)(\overline{uc})/\overline{D}D^*$	Small Can not be compact	Attractive	Attractive	Molecule
T _{cc} (3875)	$(ud)(\overline{cc})/DD^*$	Attractive But exact strength?	Repulsive	Attractive	Compact or Molecule

- 2. X should be a molecular configuration
- 3. But depending on the exact strength of the short range attraction, Tcc can either be compact multiquark or molecular configuration
 - \rightarrow Identifying the structure will be important
 - \rightarrow Can be done by measuring them in Heavy Ion collision

III: Measuring Exotics in Heavy Ion Collision:

Theory prediction

PRL 106, 212001 (2011)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 27 MAY 2011

Identifying Multiquark Hadrons from Heavy Ion Collisions

Sungtae Cho,¹ Takenori Furumoto,^{2,3} Tetsuo Hyodo,⁴ Daisuke Jido,² Che Ming Ko,⁵ Su Houng Lee,^{1,2} Marina Nielsen,⁶ Akira Ohnishi,² Takayasu Sekihara,^{2,7} Shigehiro Yasui,⁸ and Koichi Yazaki^{2,3}





Predictions for Pt distribution: H Yoon Parallel Talk Wed 12:10 Resonances and Hypernuclei



Summary

Heavy collision: When strong short attraction exists (K factor) compact

multiquarks are formed at hadronization point

If no short attraction but strong pion attraction exist,

molecular configurations will form

at kinetic freeze-out point



- → Will have different production rates and Pt distribution
- Discriminating configuration will constraint short distance physics
 Important step towards understanding confinement and dense matter



Additions - 1

1. Other Explicitly exotic state observed :

Exotic	X(3872)	Tcc(3875)	X(5568)	Pc(4312)
Quark	$(uc)(\overline{uc})$	$(ud)(\overline{cc})$	$(bu)(\overline{ds})$	$(udc)(u\overline{c})$
Threshold	$ar{D}^0 D^{*0}$	$D^{-}D^{*0}$	Non near	\rightarrow

$$^{2}H(\text{Deuteron}) \rightarrow p + n(\text{B} \sim 2.224 \text{ MeV})$$



2. Pc states could also be molecular configurations.

$$P_{c}(4312) \to \Sigma_{c}(2455) + \overline{D}^{0}(1865) \quad [\sim 4320]$$
$$P_{c}(4457) \to \Sigma_{c}(2455) + \overline{D}^{0*}(2007) \quad [\sim 4462]$$

Additions - 2

3. Searched all compact pentaquark candidates: Park, Cho, Lee PRD99(2019)094023

 ΔE : Expected binding with negative *K* factor

$$S = 1/2$$
Quark Config. ΔE State
$$udsc\bar{c} -124 \quad \Lambda \eta_c(7) \rightarrow P_{sc\bar{c}} (udsc\bar{c}) + J \qquad J_{sc\bar{c}} (udsc\bar{c}) \rightarrow \Lambda + J \qquad J_{sc\bar{c}} (24)$$

 $P_{sc\overline{c}} \left(uds c\overline{c} \right) [4458]$ $\rightarrow \Lambda + J / \psi \text{ (LHCb 2012.10380)}$

 $\rightarrow \Xi_c (2467.7) + D^{*-}(2010) : (4477.7)$

 $P_{cc\overline{s}}^{++}(udcc\overline{s}) \rightarrow \Lambda_c K^- K^+ \pi^+$ (Our prediction)

Note $\Xi_{cc}^{++}(3621.40) \rightarrow \Lambda_c K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ (LHCb 1707.01621)

 $P_{cc\overline{s}}^{++}(udcc\overline{s}) \rightarrow \Lambda_c K^- K^+ \pi^+$ (Our prediction)

Additions - 3

2. Study production of Molecule, compact states, resonance states in heavy ion collision \rightarrow study and model dynamics of multiquark configuration

→ All the way to confinement and deconfinement in QCD : Need input from multiquark configurations

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 104, 094024 (2021)

Case for quarkyoniclike matter from a constituent quark model

Aaron Park,^{1,*} Kie Sang Jeong^{,2,†} and Su Houng Lee^{1,‡}

¹Department of Physics and Institute of Physics and Applied Physics, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, Korea

²Institute for Nuclear Theory, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, D.C. 98195, USA



Ground state Mesons
$$J^P = (s+L)^{(-1)^{L+1}}$$
Ground states $L=0 \rightarrow (s)^{-1}$ $J^P = 0^ \pi$ $S=0$ $m_{\pi}^0 = 135 \text{ MeV}$ MeV MeV $L=0$ $L=0$ P-wave Mesons $P=(-1)^{L+1}, \ C=(-1)^{L+S}$

$$J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$$

$$M_{h_1}^{I=0} = 1166 \text{ MeV}$$

$$M_{b_1}^{I=1} = 1229 \text{ MeV}$$

$$M = 1$$

$$S = 0$$

$$M = 0$$

$$J^{PC} = 0^{++} \qquad S = 1$$

$$m_{a_0}^{I=0} = 980 \text{ MeV}$$

$$m_{f_0}^{I=1} = 980 \text{ MeV}$$

$$L = 1$$

$$P = (-1)^{L+1}, \quad C = (-1)^{L+S}$$

$$J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$$

$$M_{h_{1}}^{I=0} = 1166 \text{ MeV}$$

$$M_{b_{1}}^{I=1} = 1229 \text{ MeV}$$

$$M = 1$$

$$J^{PC} = 0^{++}$$

$$m_{a_0}^{I=0} = 980 \text{ MeV}$$

$$m_{f_0}^{I=1} = 980 \text{ MeV}$$

$$L = 1$$
which one ?

$$J^{PC} = 1^{++}$$

 $m_{a_1}^{I=1} = 1260 \text{ MeV}$
 $M = 1$
 $M = 1$

$$J^{PC} = 0^{++} \qquad S = L = 0$$

$$m_{a_0}^{I=0} = 980 \text{ MeV}$$

$$m_{f_0}^{I=1} = 980 \text{ MeV}$$
Mass of 2 diquarks