



# Measurement of Drell-Yan transverse momentum dependence over a wide mass range

25<sup>th</sup> High-Energy Physics International Conference in Quantum Chromodynamics - **QCD** 2022

Montpellier, 4–7 July 2022

Itana Bubanja on behalf of CMS collaboration

University of Montenegro and Université libre de Bruxelles

## Motivation

- Important insights into the **partonic structure of hadrons** and the **evolution of the parton distribution functions**
- Sensitive to resummation/TMDs in low pT region, pQCD ME for the high pT region and their matching in moderate pT region
  - → tests the validity of the resummation approach and the precision of different predictions
- **Clean final state** no QCD final-state radiation, easily measured decay products
- The DY process with one jet **complementary investigation of the initial-state QCD** radiations, sensitive to **hard QCD radiations and gluon PDF**
- Predictions depend on the factorization and renormalization scales



## Introduction



- Results from CMS-SMP-20-003 publication (arxiv, CMS public page, HepData)
- Analysed data: 2016
- Luminosity: **36.3 fb**<sup>-1</sup>
- Five mass bins from **50 to 1000 GeV**
- Di-electron and di-muon channels combined

#### Event selection:

- Two opposite charged isolated leptons
- Dressed with photons in  $\Delta R(l, \gamma) < 0.1$
- Lepton pT > 25, 20 GeV; |η| < 2.4

For production in association with at least 1 jet:

- At least one anti-kT jet
- Cone size parameter R = 0.4
- Jet pT >30 GeV; |y| < 2.4
- ∆R(*l*, j) > 0.4

#### **Predictions:**

- Test models based on the ME + parton shower
- Test the latest models with improved multiple parton emissions (TMD, NNLL + ME)

#### Variables:

- **pT(***II***):** sensitive to gluon PDF/TMDs, in many predictions
- **φ\*:** based on angular variables only precise measurements
- + Ratios of the cross section in various mass bins over those of Z peak region: direct probes of evolution between different scales

## Backgrounds

#### MC background:

- Top quark pairs that decay into leptons
- Single top production in the t channel, s channel and single top production in association with a W boson
- Production of the Z boson in association with an additional electroweak boson Z or W
- $Z/\gamma^*$  decay in opposite charge  $\tau$  pairs
- The γγ background process leading to two opposite charged leptons (in-in, in-el, el-el)



#### Data - driven backround:

• Hadrons misidentified as electrons - estimation based on requiring 2 same sign electrons



→ MC corrected to match experimental imperfections

## Uncertainties

#### **Uncertainties:**

- Total uncertainty **1.5 to 2** % around the Z peak
- Main source of uncertainties for inclusive measurement:
  - the integrated luminosity measurement
  - the identification and trigger efficiency corrections of the leptons
  - the energy scale of the lepton
- For DY + ≥1 jet case the dominant ones are:
  - jet energy scale
  - $\circ \quad \ \ \text{the unfolding model}$



50 - 76 GeV

76 - 106 GeV

106 -170 GeV

- → For low mass dominant source of uncertainties is luminosity followed by efficiency uncertainties
- → Low pT region dominated by unfolding model uncertainties at peak and for higher masses

## Uncertainties

#### **Uncertainties:**

- Total uncertainty **1.5 to 2** % around the Z peak
- Main source of uncertainties for inclusive measurement:
  - the integrated luminosity measurement
  - the lepton identification and trigger efficiency corrections
  - the energy scale of the lepton
- For DY + ≥1 jet case the dominant ones are:
  - jet energy scale
  - $\circ \quad \ \ \text{the unfolding model}$



170 - 350 GeV

350 - 1000 GeV

- → Luminosity also dominant for mass region 170 350 GeV
- → Data statistics dominant in the highest mass bin

## Theoretical predictions

#### • MADGRAPH\_aMC@NLO FxFx

- Monte-Carlo prediction
- Interfaced with PYTHIA8 using the CUETP8M1 tune
- Matrix element at NLO for up to 2 partons
- The NNPDF3.1 NLO PDF

#### MiNNLO

- Monte-Carlo prediction
- PYTHIA8 for the parton showers based on the CP5 tune (harder primordial kt)
- Matrix element at NNLO
- The NNPDF 3.1 PDF
- Sudakov form factors are used to interpolate between the scale

- CASCADE
  - Monte-Carlo prediction
  - Parton Branching TMD
  - PYTHIA6
  - $\circ$  Z + 0j or Z + 1j at NLO

#### ARTEMIDE

- Analytical prediction
- $\circ$  N<sup>3</sup>LL + NNLO TMD
- QED FSR, based on PYTHIA8 (our correction)

#### • Geneva qT

- Monte-Carlo prediction
- qT resummation at N<sup>3</sup>LL in the Radish formalism + NNLO
- PYTHIA8 parton shower
- Geneva τ
  - Monte-Carlo prediction
  - $\circ$  0-jettiness variable  $\tau_0$  resumation NNLL'\_{\tau} + NNLO
  - PYTHIA8 parton shower



## Inclusive

## pT(*ll*) results

Differential cross section in different mass bins

# pT(*ll*) results



## Inclusive

#### MG5 aMC + PYTHIA 8:

- In general, good description of the data
- Too-small cross section for pT(*II*) values below 30 GeV - for masses above 170 GeV up to 20% disagreement with data
- Low pT spectrum is sensitive to the choice of the tuned parameters
- Disagreement at high pT for high mass bins - higher-order (e.g. NNLO) multiparton predictions

#### MiNNLO:

- The best global description among the predictions shown here
- Good description of high pT except for pT > 400 GeV in the Z peak region
- For the medium pT values PDF uncertainty becomes significant with respect to other model uncertainties

# pT(*ll*) results



## Inclusive

#### CASCADE:

 $10^{3}$ 

- Better description in the low-pT part for all mass bins
- For medium pT values 5 to 10% too low
- The high pT region missing higher fixed-order calculations
- pT regions with good description extend for higher mass bins

#### ArTeMiDe:

- Describes the measurements very well in the range of validity (pT <
- Low-pT region in a very good 0 agreement with data for all mass bins
- Prediction with and without OED  $\bigcirc$ **FSR** corrections

# pT(*ll*) results



## Inclusive

#### Geneva τ

- Does not describe the data well for pT values below 40 GeV
- Too hard pT spectrum related to the choice of  $\alpha_s$
- In high pT region good description - inclusion of NNLO corrections

#### Geneva qT

 Very good description of the data in the whole pT region (except for middle pT values in the lowest mass bin)





## pT(*ll*) results -*Ratios*-

of the differential cross sections for various mass bins to those in the Z mass peak interval

## Inclusive

The differential cross sections for various mass bins over those in the Z mass peak interval

# pT(*ll*) results -*Ratios*-

## Inclusive



- Probe the QCD evolution between different masses
- Experimental uncertainty goes down to 1.2 % around the Z peak

#### MG5 aMC + PYTHIA 8:

- Great description for low mass
- Significant disagreement for high masses

#### MiNNLO:

- Best global description
- Disagreement visible for higher masses and higher pT values

The differential cross sections for various mass bins over those in the Z mass peak interval

# pT(*ll*) results -*Ratios*-

## Inclusive



- Probe the OCD evolution between different masses
- Experimental uncertainty goes down to 1.2 % around the Z peak

#### CASCADE

Good description in the range of validity

#### **ArTeMiDe** •

 $10^{3}$ 

Good description for low pT region

The differential cross sections for various mass bins over those in the Z mass peak interval

Statistical

 $10^{3}$ 

p<sub>T</sub>(*ll*) [GeV]

⊕ scale

# pT(*ll*) results -*Ratios*-

## Inclusive



- Probe the OCD evolution between different masses
- Experimental uncertainty goes down to 1.2 % around the Z peak
- Geneva T
  - Very good description of data for mass bin just above the peak
- Geneva qT
  - Good description for high pT 0 region for almost all mass bins
- Good description of ratios in the region where it fails to describe well cross sections  $\rightarrow$





## pT(*ll*) results

Differential cross section in different mass bins

For one or more jets

# pT(*ll*) results



## For one or more jets

#### MG5 aMC + PYTHIA 8:

- Good description of the data for moderate pT
- Disagreement for lowest and highest pT bins which goes up to 20% for 106-170 GeV

#### MiNNLO:

- Also for 1 or more jets, best description of the data among shown predictions
- Larger disagreement with data for all mass bins than for inclusive

# pT(*ll*) results



## For one or more jets

#### • CASCADE:

- Missing the contributions from the double parton scattering - the low-pT part is mainly dominated by Z + 2 jet events
- Multi-jet merging included recently

# pT(ll) results

## For one or more jets

Statistical

10<sup>3</sup>

p<sub>T</sub>(ℓℓ) [GeV]

⊕ scale



- Similar behaviour for both **GENEVA** predictions - qT resummation is only applied in the 1-jettiness
- **GENEVA** predicts a too hard pT  $\rightarrow$ spectrum, similarly to the 0-jettiness inclusive case



## φ\* results

Differential cross section in different mass bins

Inclusive





## Inclusive

#### MG5 aMC + PYTHIA 8:

- Good description globally
- $\circ \quad \mbox{Too-small cross section in the} \\ \mbox{region sensitive to gluon} \\ \mbox{resummation $\phi$^* < 0.1 for Z} \\ \mbox{boson mass peak} \\ \end{tabular}$

#### MiNNLO:

- The best global description among the predictions shown here
- $\circ \quad \mbox{Large $\phi^{*}$ are well described in} \\ \mbox{contrast to disagreement noticed} \\ \mbox{for high $p$T range.}$
- Prediction precision of the level of 1.5% in several bins!

# $\phi$ \* results

## Inclusive



#### • CASCADE:

- $\circ \quad \text{Describes well } \phi^* < 0.1 \text{ region in all} \\ \text{mass bins details of } pT \\ \text{distribution are washed out in } \phi^* \\ \text{distribution} \end{cases}$
- Good description for Z peak region
- Underestimates more and more the cross section for higher mass bins

# $\phi^*$ results



 Geneva qT improves significantly the description with respect to Geneva τ

Inclusive

- The disagreement in low φ\* region is improved for three central mass bins
- The discrepancy of GENEVA-qT for low pT range for low mass been and Z boson mass bin is smoothed in φ\* - global agreement in all mas bins

## Summary

- Precision measurement
- Electron and muon channels were analysed for 2016 data
- Different distributions are measured in several mass bins from 50 do 1000 GeV:
  - Inclusive pT of the pair
  - $\circ \quad \ \ \, Inclusive \, \phi^{\star}$
  - pT of the pair with at least one jet in the final state
- Ratio to the peak region is also measured

- MadGraph sample is within the uncertainties all over the covered phase space, but disagrees with data at low pT – up to 20 %
- MiNNLO has the best overal agreement among all the predictions
- TMD based predictions (Artemide, CASCADE) give better description at low pT . CASCADE describes well the  $\phi^* < 0.1$  region
- CASCADE gives very nice predictions for moderate PT while it is the only prediction that does not rely on previous DY meaurements.
- Significant improvement in data description for Geneva qT for all the distributions that were shown
- Z + 1 jet region is very sensitive to Z + 2 jets effects, MadGraph and MiNNLO showed best description of the data

# Questions?